#### EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE WITH DATA MINING: AN APPLICATION OF ID3 AND CART ALGORITHMS

Manawin Songkroh (Ph.D) College of Arts, Media and Technology, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand Andrea Kố (Ph.D) Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary









### **Outline**:

- Purpose
- Business
   Objective
- Data Mining Objective
- Data Description

- Data Quality Assessment
- Model Selection
- Model Evaluation
- Conclusions & Implications

### Purposes:

- General Purpose: to classify students into successful and marginal groups, in order to find better ways to advise them and
- To assist university admission officials in identifying students that are likely to be successful in a graduate program
- Data Mining Purpose: To create
   classification model : CART & ID3

## **Business Objective**

#### • Retain & ensure the

graduation in appropriate time frame

## Data Mining Objectives

- Data Acquisition
- Data Preparation
- Build Classification Models
- Model Evaluation

### Data Preparation

Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L
	TRM	E_CREDIT	C_CREDIT	A_CREDIT	GPA	POINT	OCE	000	OCA	OPN	OGPA
492112079	49	1 15	15	15	2.3	34.5	15	15	15	34.5	2.3
492112079	49	2 30	30	30	2.15	64.5	15	15	15	30	2
492112079	50	1 45	45	45	2.33	105	15	15	18	40.5	2.7
492112079	50	2 60	60	60	2.3	138	15	15	15	33	2.2
492112079	51	1 78	78	78	2.37	184.5	18	18	18	46.5	2.58
492112079	51	2 93	93	93	2.45	228	15	15	24	43.5	2.9
492112079	52	1 120	111	120	2,51	279	27	18	27	51	2.83
492112080	49	1 15	15	15	2.6	39	15	15	15	39	2.6
492112080	49	2 30	30	30	2.5	75	15	15	15	36	2.4
492112080	50	1 45	45	45	2.7	121.5	15	15	18	46.5	3.1
492112080	50	2 60	60	60	2.7	162	15	15	15	40.5	2.7
492112080	51	1 78	78	78	2.6	202.5	18	18	18	40.5	2.25
492112080	51	2 93	93	93	2.61	243	15	15	21	40.5	2.7
492112080	52	1 120	111	120	2.7	300	27	18	27	57	3.17

#### example of data $\sim$

						<b>\</b>
A	BC		D		E/	F
492112079 511	70210	0 INT	RO TO ENTRE FINA	3		С
492112079 511	70320	2 PR	NCIPLES OF MANAGEMEN	IT <sup>7</sup> 3		D+
492112079 511	70320	4 BU	SINESS ETHICS	3		C+
492112079 512	25532	3 INT	RO TO QA	- 3		Α
492112079 512	70521	1 MA	RKETING PRINCIPLES	- 3		С
492112079 512	95424	2 WE	B PROGRAMMING	- 3		В
492112079 512	95426	60 KM	SYSTEM	- 3		
492112079 512	95434	2 DA	TA MANAGEMENT	- 3		В
492112079 512	95438	0 CO	-OP I	- 3		P
492112079 512	95444	3 MU	LTIMEDIA PROGRAMMING	- 3		w
492112079 512	95446	60 INT	RO TO MANU INFO SYS	- 3		C+
492112079 521	00620	6 HO	ME MANAGEMENT	- 3		В
492112079 521	25330	0 INT	RO TO ENVI MANGT	- 3		B+
492112079 521	70323	31 HU	MAN RESOURCE MANAGE	MENT 🖪		С
492112079 521	95444	1 E-C	OMMERCE	- 3		C+
492112079 521	95448	31 CO	-OP II	6		S
492112079 521	95449	01 SE	ECTED TOPIC IN MMIT	- 3		В
492112079 521	95438	0 CO	-OP I	- 3		S /
492112079 521	95426	60 KM	SYSTEM	3	$\sim$	В/
492112080 491	00110	3 FO	UNDATION ENGLISH I	- 3		С
492112080 491	05010	00 US	AGE OF THAI LANGUAGE	- 3		В
492112080 491	15910	1 FU	N IN SOCIO AND ANTHRO	- 3		C+
492112080 491	20810	0 INT	RO TO STAT CONCEPT	- 3		В
492112080 491	95414	0 IT L	ITERACY	- 3		C+
492112080 492	00110	4 FO	UNDATION ENGLISH II	- 3		D+
492112080 492	01115	7 SO	CIAL PHILOSOPHY	3		A

#### Data Recipe

Α	В	Ċ	D	E	F		DD	DE
refix	Program	Province	C001	C002	C003	C008	S2008_3	S2009_1
r.	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	D	F	2.29	2.41
r.	Special	Lamphun	0	0	C+	C+	2.56	2.61
r.	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	D	F	2.09	2.18
iss	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	B+	C+	2.75	2.71
iss	Special	Payao	0	0	D	F	2.05	1.99
r.	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	C+	D+	2.15	2.14
r.	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	D+	D	2.59	2.76
iss	Special	Lampang	0	0	D	F	2.55	2.69
iss	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	В	В	3	3.09
iss	Special	Other	0	0	D	D	2.39	2.5
iss	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	D	F	2.04	2.15
r.	Special	Lampang	0	0	С	D+	2.41	2.55
r.	Special	Chiang0Mai	0	0	В	C+	3.06	3.05

#### Model Selection

 CART & ID3 assumes
 **nonparametric**, algorithms selected **automatically**, **categorial**/ continuous **variables**.

# **Model Evaluation**

- Cross Validation
- 80:20 (Training and Evaluation Test Set)

## **Data Description**

#### **Number of Students by Gender**







## Data Quality Assessment

- No outliers
- 10 missing data
- GPA>2.5= Good,
- GPA<2.5 --> Bad





### Model Evaluation

Evaluator	Overall Accuracy	Accuracy for Good	Accuracy for Bad
ID3-Cross Validation	77.37%	81.05%	75.30%
ID3-Test Set	79.69%	68.42%	84.44%
CART- Cross Validation	76.64%	65.26%	83.15%
CART- Test Set	75%	63.16%	85%

#### **Comparison of Model Evaluation**

#### **Comparison of Model Evaluation**



### Implications:

- English, Statistics, and Information and Communication Technology are the key determinator subjects.
- The results of Classification are congruent with the frequency data as many students receive F in these classes.
- English and statistics should be the subject used to screen students during admission.

## Implications (2)

• Info & Comm Technology is the Major mandatory subject that is required special attention as it will determine the academic performance of the other related subjects.

## Conclusions

- This presentation outlined the features
   of a classification technique to
   evaluate student performance in their undergraduate
   programs
- Classification technique holds the

promise as an evaluation tool to classify students into successful and marginal categories and supports to identify students that are likely to be successful in a graduate program

## Conclusion (2)

 The use of a classification model can support and potentially improve decision making by program directors and dean.

